


**AUTHOR QUERY FORM**

|   |   |  |
|---|---|--|
|  | <p><b>Journal:</b> MPB</p> <p><b>Article Number:</b> 5677</p> | <p><b>Please e-mail or fax your responses and any corrections to:</b></p> <p><b>E-mail:</b> <a href="mailto:corrections.eseo@elsevier.sps.co.in">corrections.eseo@elsevier.sps.co.in</a></p> <p><b>Fax:</b> +31 2048 52799</p> |
|---|---|--|

Dear Author,

Please check your proof carefully and mark all corrections at the appropriate place in the proof (e.g., by using on-screen annotation in the PDF file) or compile them in a separate list. Note: if you opt to annotate the file with software other than Adobe Reader then please also highlight the appropriate place in the PDF file. To ensure fast publication of your paper please return your corrections within 48 hours.

For correction or revision of any artwork, please consult <http://www.elsevier.com/artworkinstructions>.

Any queries or remarks that have arisen during the processing of your manuscript are listed below and highlighted by flags in the proof. Click on the 'Q' link to go to the location in the proof.

| Location in article | Query / Remark: <a href="#">click on the Q link to go</a><br>Please insert your reply or correction at the corresponding line in the proof  |
|---------------------|---|
| <a href="#">Q1</a>  | Please confirm that given names and surnames have been identified correctly.  |
| <a href="#">Q2</a>  | Kindly check whether the corresponding address is okay as typeset, and correct if necessary.  |
| <a href="#">Q3</a>  | The section heading 'Introduction' has been introduced while editing. Kindly check, and correct if necessary.   |
| <a href="#">Q4</a>  | This section comprises references that occur in the reference list but not in the body of the text. Please position each reference in the text or, alternatively, delete it. Any reference not dealt with will be retained in this section. |
|                     | <div> <p>Please check this box if you have no corrections to make to the PDF file</p> <input type="checkbox"/> </div>   |

Thank you for your assistance.

---

**Highlights**

- 
- The park sediments were not contaminated for heavy metals.
  - The concentrations in the sediments were noted to fall below the biological risk levels.
  - Anthropogenic enrichment was only found for Ni around the Aristizábal lighthouse.
- 





Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

## Marine Pollution Bulletin

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/marpolbul](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/marpolbul)

## Baseline

## Distribution and origin of trace metals in sediments of a marine park (Northern San Jorge Gulf) from Argentina

Carmen Haydee Marinho<sup>a,b,\*</sup>, Mónica Noemí Gil<sup>a,b</sup>, José Luis Esteves<sup>a</sup><sup>a</sup> Centro Nacional Patagónico, Bvd. Brown 2915, U9120ACD, Puerto Madryn, Argentina<sup>b</sup> Universidad Nacional de la Patagonia San Juan Bosco, Bvd. Brown 3051, U9120ACD, Puerto Madryn, Argentina

## ARTICLE INFO

## Keywords:

Trace metals  
Surface sediments  
Coastal Marine Park Patagonia Austral  
San Jorge Gulf Basin  
Argentina

## ABSTRACT

The Northern San Jorge Gulf (NSJG) was designated Interjurisdictional Coastal Marine Park “Patagonia Austral” in 2008 with the objective of conserving biodiversity and natural resources. Metals released to the environment can be accumulated by organisms and can be toxic in some cases, making it necessary to evaluate their presence and biological risk. This study examined concentrations of Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu, Cr, Ni, Cd and Pb in intertidal sediments of the NSJG, and was the first study of its kind to be conducted in this area. Concentrations of all metals fell below biological risk levels. Anthropogenic enrichment was only found for Ni around the Aristizabal lighthouse and was attributed to the frequent oil spills that impact this particular area.

© 2013 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

## 1. Introduction

The Northern San Jorge Gulf (NSJG) is one of the most productive marine coastal areas of Patagonia. It has an extensive development of seaweeds and benthic invertebrate communities, with places for spawning and rearing of fish as well as reproduction of birds and marine mammals (Yorio, 2001). In 2008, authorities created the National Law N° 26.446, the Interjurisdictional Coastal Marine Park “Patagonia Austral” (ICMPPA), to conserve biodiversity and natural resources in this area.

The main environmental threat of this ecosystem comes from oil spills associated with the production and transportation of oil within San Jorge Gulf on the Atlantic coast of Chubut and Santa Cruz provinces. This area is one of the most important crude oil fields in Argentina. After extraction, petroleum is transported by sea from the port of Comodoro Rivadavia towards the North of the country. Previous studies on Patagonian coasts not only reported high hydrocarbon concentrations in loading and unloading sites (mainly in Cordova creek and Comodoro Rivadavia port), but also around the Aristizabal lighthouse in the ICMPPA, away from the port (Commendatore and Esteves, 2007; Commendatore et al., 2000). This was attributed to oil spills transported towards the Park by ocean currents and wind action. Oil spills undergo physical, chemical, and microbiological changes. Biodegradation in marine sediments can alter the mobility and bioavailability of

the trace metals present in oil, such as Ni, V, Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Pb, Mn, and Zn (Dell’Anno et al., 2009). Unlike hydrocarbons, trace metals do not undergo biodegradation process, which favors their persistence in the environment, as well as their bioaccumulation and potential damage to organisms. This study presents the first data of trace metals levels in the coastline surface sediments from NSJG.

Sediment samples were collected in summer 2009/2010 from 22 locations between 44°46’S; 065°41’W and 45°22’S; 067°05’W (Fig. 1). At each site, 20 subsamples were collected manually with acrylic cores of 45 mm internal diameter and 30 cm in length (upper 10 cm) every 3 m and along the low tide line. Subsequently, they were mixed in a composite sample, which was stored in a single polyethylene bag at −20 °C.

Before analysis, the sediments were dried at 60 °C and carefully homogenized. The content of total organic matter was determined by loss of weight after calcination at 450 °C for 4 h. Particle size distribution was determined by sieving through plastic meshes: gravel (>2 mm), sand (between 63 μm and 2 mm), and silt-clay (<63 μm). For metal analysis, the bulk dried sediment was manually sieved through a 2-mm plastic mesh to remove coarser material and debris. Two replicates of 1 g of each sample were digested using 10 ml of aqua regia and evaporated in hot plate to near dryness. The digests were cooled to ambient temperature and transferred into a 25-ml flask and to final volume with 5% nitric acid. This method obtained the pseudo-total concentrations of Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu, Ni, Cd, Pb, and Cr (MacGrath and Cunliffe, 1985; ISO, 1995). The extracts were analyzed in an Atomic Absorption Spectrometer using N<sub>2</sub>O/acetylene flame for Cr and air/acetylene flame for other metals. Two blanks were run with each set of 12 samples

\* Corresponding author at: Centro Nacional Patagónico, Bvd. Brown 2915, U9120ACD, Puerto Madryn, Argentina. Tel.: +54 02804451024; fax: +54 02804451543.

E-mail addresses: [marinho@cenpat.edu.ar](mailto:marinho@cenpat.edu.ar) (C.H. Marinho), [monicagil@cenpat.edu.ar](mailto:monicagil@cenpat.edu.ar) (M.N. Gil), [esteves@cenpat.edu.ar](mailto:esteves@cenpat.edu.ar) (J.L. Esteves).




Fig. 1. Sampling sites along the coast of NSJG.

and reagents of analytical grade were used in every case. The results are reported as  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  of dry weight of sediment, except for Fe, which is expressed as  $\text{mg g}^{-1}$ . Variation coefficients tested for five replicates of the same sample were  $<10\%$  for all metals. The detection limits and recovery percentages from PACS-2 Marine Sediment Reference Materials are shown in Table 1. Spearman correlations were performed to assess the relationships between the metals.

The samples were composed mainly of sand-sized particles,  $>50\%$  (Table 2). The highest proportions of finest material (9.75–36.04%) were observed in Stations E4–E7 (located in Malaspina creek) and E22 (4.68%) (Sara creek). Both the creeks are semi-enclosed and low-energy ecosystems, hydrodynamically favorable for the deposition of suspended particles. On the other

hand, stations E1 (Visser port), E2 and E3 (Aristizábal lighthouse), and E11 (Bustamante bay) showed gravel-sandy sediments.

Organic matter content varied between 0.23 and 3.5% (Table 2). The highest values (1.87–3.50%) were measured in Malaspina creek, which are in agreement with the highest content of fine material, followed by stations near Aristizábal lighthouse (0.71–1.25%), despite their high gravel level and low fine content.

Concentrations of Fe were the highest at all sites (1.22–15.72  $\text{mg g}^{-1}$ ), followed by Mn (23.5–298.7  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ), Zn (7.9–46.5  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ), Ni ( $<2.5$ –20.8  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ), Cr ( $<2.5$ –13.3  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ), and Cu ( $<0.63$ –6.98  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ). Pb was detected only in two stations: E7 (5.36  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) and E20 (5.48  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ), with values very close to the detection limit (5.0  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ). The Cd concentrations were always undetectable ( $<0.25 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) (Table 2). These metal concentrations are within the range or even below those reported for other coastal environments of Patagonia with low or without anthropogenic impact (Amin et al., 1996; Harvey and Gil, 1988; Mohamed, 2008).

To analyze the probable sources of metals, we performed correlation analysis among them. The only metal that did not show significant correlation with any other was Ni, unless the stations E2 and E3 were removed (Table 3). Fe and Mn, in general, are considered not to be affected by anthropogenic loads; thus, the metals statistically associated with them were assumed to be of natural origin. In that sense, the relatively high Ni values measured at E2 and E3 were therefore believed to be of anthropogenic origin. Since Ni is a known component of oil, the relatively high levels noted in sediments from these sites could be reflective of oil spills that frequently impact the area (Commendatore and Esteves, 2007; Commendatore et al., 2000). The existence of anthropogenic Ni

Table 1

Detection limits and recovery from reference material (PACS-2 Marine Sediment) expressed in  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  dry weight.

| Element | Detection limits ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) | Certified concentration ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) | Obtained concentration ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ) | Recovery (%) |
|---------|---|--|---|--------------|
| Fe      | 2.5                                       | 40,900   | 30,863  | 75           |
| Mn      | 0.63                                      | 440  | 252   | 57           |
| Zn      | 0.25                                      | 364  | 362   | 99           |
| Cu      | 0.63                                      | 310  | 294   | 95           |
| Ni      | 2.5                                       | 39.5   | 35.2  | 89           |
| Cd      | 0.25                                      | 2.11   | 1.95  | 93           |
| Pb      | 5.0                                       | 183  | 176   | 96           |
| Cr      | 2.5                                       | 90.7   | 56.8  | 63           |

**Table 2**Type of sediment, organic matter content (OM) (%) and metals concentrations ( $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$  dry weight, except for Fe,  $\text{mg g}^{-1}$ ).

| Site                     | Type of sediment | OM   | Fe    | Mn    | Zn   | Cr   | Cu    | Ni   | Pb    | Cd    |
|--------------------------|------------------|------|-------|-------|------|------|-------|------|-------|-------|
| 1-Visser port            | Gravel sandy     | 0.38 | 10.14 | 298.7 | 26.4 | 5.4  | 1.86  | 4.9  | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 2-Aristizabal lighthouse | Sand             | 1.25 | 1.89  | 52.5  | 12.8 | 2.9  | 0.75  | 20.8 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 3-Aristizabal lighthouse | Gravel sandy     | 0.71 | 1.22  | 43.1  | 8.3  | <2.5 | <0.63 | 12.8 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 4-Malaspina creek        | Sand silty       | 1.87 | 5.71  | 63.0  | 17.7 | 6.5  | 1.24  | 3.1  | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 5-Malaspina creek        | Sand silty       | 3.50 | 15.72 | 262.4 | 46.5 | 12.5 | 6.98  | 8.5  | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 6-Malaspina creek        | Sand silty       | 2.31 | 5.77  | 61.2  | 23.9 | 6.7  | 1.62  | 2.7  | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 7-Malaspina creek        | Sand silty       | 1.94 | 14.47 | 196.4 | 44.4 | 13.3 | 4.61  | 8.4  | 5.36  | <0.25 |
| 8-Piojo port             | Sand             | 0.32 | 1.55  | 23.5  | 8.6  | 2.7  | <0.63 | <2.5 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 9-Gravina peak           | Sand             | 0.62 | 2.84  | 52.3  | 10.2 | 4.0  | <0.63 | 4.1  | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 10-Bustamante bay        | Sand gravely     | 0.50 | 1.92  | 27.4  | 10.5 | 2.8  | <0.63 | <2.5 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 11-Bustamante bay        | Gravel sandy     | 0.32 | 2.70  | 38.5  | 7.9  | 4.2  | 0.66  | <2.5 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 12-Ezquena peak          | Gravel sandy     | 0.26 | 2.89  | 54.1  | 19.8 | 3.6  | 0.97  | <2.5 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 13-Tafor peak            | Sand gravely     | 0.46 | 2.81  | 53.0  | 11.0 | 4.7  | <0.63 | <2.5 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 14-Ranch San Miguel      | Sand             | 0.29 | 5.47  | 86.2  | 16.6 | 5.7  | 0.75  | <2.5 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 15-Melo port             | Sand             | 0.60 | 2.81  | 43.1  | 10.7 | 5.0  | <0.63 | <2.5 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 16-Arredondo bay         | Sand             | 0.33 | 3.36  | 49.9  | 9.9  | 4.4  | <0.63 | <2.5 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 17-Cayetano bay          | Sand             | 0.51 | 3.03  | 44.8  | 10.9 | 3.9  | 0.72  | <2.5 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 18-Huevo bay             | Sand gravely     | 0.29 | 3.44  | 63.0  | 21.5 | 2.7  | 0.79  | 2.9  | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 19-front Islas Leones    | Sand             | 0.45 | 3.93  | 91.1  | 23.9 | <2.5 | <0.63 | 4.1  | 5.48  | <0.25 |
| 20-San Gregorio bay      | Sand gravely     | 0.23 | 5.35  | 89.7  | 31.3 | 4.0  | 0.91  | <2.5 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 21-Sara creek            | Sand silty       | 0.42 | 5.16  | 88.3  | 18.2 | 6.4  | 1.12  | <2.5 | <5.00 | <0.25 |
| 22-School culture 721    | Sand             | 0.44 | 6.50  | 90.0  | 21.2 | 5.7  | 0.87  | <2.5 | <5.00 | <0.25 |

**Table 3**

Spearman correlation coefficients.

| Metal                     | Fe    | Mn    | Zn    | Cr    | Cu    |
|---------------------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Mn                        | 0.88* |       |       |       |       |
| Zn                        | 0.84* | 0.90* |       |       |       |
| Cr                        | 0.77* | 0.64* | 0.51* |       |       |
| Cu                        | 0.81* | 0.74* | 0.82* | 0.71* |       |
| Ni                        | −0.15 | −0.01 | −0.07 | 0.14  | 0.4   |
| Ni (after removing E2–E3) | 0.64  | 0.79* | 0.67  | 0.50  | 0.83* |


\*  $p < 0.05$ .

contribution was corroborated after obtaining the enrichment factors (EF) with respect to crustal Earth concentrations, according to the following equation:

$$EF = [(M/Fe)_{\text{sample}}] / [(M/Fe)_{\text{crust}}]$$

This equation takes into account the relationship between the concentration of a metal (M) and Fe in the sample and the same relationship in the crust (natural background level) (Nikolaidis et al., 2010; Kamau, 2002; Alomary and Belhadj, 2007). There is no accepted pollution ranking system or categorization of degree of pollution based on the enrichment factor methodology. Nikolaidis et al. (2010) have proposed five contamination categories for EF: <2 minimal enrichment, 2–5 moderate enrichment, 5–20 significant enrichment, 20–40 very highly enriched, and >40 extremely highly enriched. For Zn some values were close to 2 (moderate enrichment). Only for Ni in stations E2 and E3, EF was >5 and <20 (significant enrichment) (Fig. 2).

With the aim of evaluating probable risk to the organisms, we used the NOAA, the Canadian, and the USEPA criteria (Table 4). The NOAA has set two guidelines: ERL (Effect Range Low) and ERM (Effect Range Medium), which refer to the incidence of adverse effects on the biota (Long et al., 1995). All the concentrations measured in this study were far below the ERL ( $20.9 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ), except for Ni in Aristizabal lighthouse very closed ( $20.8 \mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ). The Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (2002) has established the ISQG (Interine Sediment Quality Guidelines), which refer to “neartotal” trace metal extraction methods (mild digestions), similar to that used in this study (e.g., aqua regia, nitric acid or hydrochloric acid). Our results are far below these guidelines for

**Fig. 2.** Enrichment factors (EF). Pb was detected only rare occasion and Cd were always undetectable.**Table 4**Comparison with guidelines (expressed in  $\mu\text{g g}^{-1}$ ).

|       | Zn         | Cu         | Cr         | Ni        | Cd    | Pb         |
|-------|------------|------------|------------|-----------|-------|------------|
| NSJG  | 7.92–46.53 | <0.63–6.98 | <2.5–13.34 | <2.5–20.8 | <0.25 | <4.98–5.48 |
| ERL   | 150        | 34         | 81         | 20.9      | 1.2   | 46.7       |
| ERM   | 410        | 270        | 370        | 51.6      | 9.6   | 218        |
| ISQGs | 124        | 18.7       | 52.3       | –         | 0.7   | 30.2       |
| USEPA | 90         | 25         | 25         | 20        | –     | 40         |

all metals. The EPA refers to a set of methods for the evaluation of metals in sediments, including partial extraction and total extraction. The values found in the NSJG are within the range established by USEPA for no contaminated sediments.

We conclude that the concentrations of the trace metals analyzed in the sediments from the ICMPPA are not of biological risk and have predominantly natural origin. A possible anthropogenic contribution was only detected for Ni around Aristizabal lighthouse, which may be related to the crude oil spills that histor-

ically occur in loading buoys and along the tanker routes, and which is then carried to the Northern coast of the Gulf by currents and wind action. To our knowledge, there is no other important human source of Ni within the Park, and therefore, its monitoring would be a complement for tracking hydrocarbon pollution. Further studies are necessary to corroborate this hypothesis.

The growth of petroleum activities within San Jorge Gulf could not only increase Ni, but also other metal concentrations. For that reason, this research provides valuable reference information for management purposes and future scientific studies.

## 2. Uncited reference

USEPA (1977).

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the project-PICT 2007-00441(National Agency for the Promotion of Science and Technology, ANPCyT, Argentina). The authors gratefully acknowledge comments from the anonymous reviewer.

## References

- Alomary, A.A., Belhadj, S., 2007. Determination of heavy metals (Cd, Cr, Cu, Fe, Ni, Pb, Zn) by ICP-OES and their speciation in Algerian Mediterranean Sea sediments after a five-stage sequential extraction procedure. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 135, 265–280.
- Amin, O., Ferrer, L., Marcovecchio, J., 1996. Heavy metal concentrations in literal sediments from the Beagle Channel, Tierra del Fuego, Argentina. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 41, 219–231.

- Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment (CCME), 2002. Canadian sediment quality guidelines for the protection of aquatic life: summary tables, updated. In: Canadian Environment Quality Guidelines, 1999. Canadian Council of Ministers of the Environment, Winnipeg.
- Commendatore, M.G., Esteves, J.L., 2007. An assessment of oil pollution in the coastal zone of Patagonia, Argentina. *Environ. Manage.* 40, 814–821.
- Commendatore, M.G., Esteves, J.L., Colombo, J.C., 2000. Hydrocarbons in coastal sediments of Patagonia, Argentina: levels and probable sources. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 40 (11), 989–998.
- Dell'Anno, A., Beolchini, F., Gabellini, M., Rochetti, L., Pusceddu, A., Danovaro, R., 2009. Bioremediation of petroleum hydrocarbons in anoxic marine sediments: consequence on the speciation of heavy metals. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 58, 1808–1814.
- Harvey, M.A., Gil, M.N., 1988. Concentrations of some trace elements in recent sediments from the San José and Nuevo Gulfs. Patagonia Argentina. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* 19 (8), 394–396.
- International Organization for Standardization (ISO), 1995. Soil quality, Extraction of Trace Elements Soluble in Aqua Regia, ISO 11466, pp. 1–6.
- Kamau, J.N., 2002. Heavy metal distribution and enrichment at Port-Reitz Creek, Mombasa. *Western Indian Ocean J. Mar. Sci.* 1 (1), 65–70.
- Long, E.R., MacDonald, D.D., Smith, S.L., Calder, F.D., 1995. Incidence of adverse biological effects within ranges of chemical concentrations in marine and estuarine sediments. *Environ. Manage.* 19, 81–87.
- MacGrath, S.P., Cunliffe, C.H., 1985. A simplified method for the extraction of the metals Fe, Zn, Cu, Ni, Cd, Pb, Cr, Co and Mn from soils and sludges. *J. Sci. Food. Agr.* 36, 794–798.
- Mohamed, N., 2008. Evaluación de la concentración de metales trazas en moluscos bivalvos, material particulado y sedimentos de la zona costera del Chubut. Tesis de grado. UNPSJB. pp. 113.
- Nikolaidis, C., Zafiriadis, I., Mathioudakis, V., Constantinidis, T., 2010. Heavy metal pollution associated with an abandoned lead-zinc mine in the Kirki Region. NE Greece. *Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00128-010-0079-9>.
- USEPA, 1977. Guidelines for the Pollutional Classification of Great Lakes Harbor Sediments. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region V. Chicago, Illinois.
- Yorio, P. 2001. Antecedentes para la creación de una nueva área protegida en la provincia de Chubut: el norte del Golfo San Jorge. Centro Nacional Patagónico (CONICET). Puerto Madryn.